UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DIDIER ANTOINE

33 Crystal Terrace

Mount Bethel, PA 18343

CASE NO.

CIVIL ACTION

Plaintiff,

v.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

VEIN CLINICS OF AMERICA, INC. 676 E. Swedesford Rd., Ste 170 Wayne, PA 19087

Defendant.

CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, Didier Antoine (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff" unless indicated otherwise) hereby complains as follows against Vein Clinics of America, Inc. (hereinafter referred to as "Defendant" unless otherwise indicated), and avers as follows:

INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff initiates the instant action to redress violations by Defendant of Title VII 1. of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended (42 U.S.C.S. §§ 2000a et. seq.) and violations of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act ("PHRA"). 1 As a result of Defendant's unlawful actions, Plaintiff has suffered the damages as set forth herein.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. This Court, in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1331, has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims because this civil action arises under a law of the United States. This Court may maintain

¹ Plaintiff will move to amend his instant lawsuit to include a claim under the PHRA once his administrative remedies are fully exhausted with the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission. Any claims under the PHRA though would mirror the instant Title VII claims identically.

supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state-law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367 because they arise out of the same common nucleus of operative facts as Plaintiff's federal claims herein.

- 3. This Court may properly maintain personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant's contacts with this state and this judicial district are sufficient for the exercise of jurisdiction over Defendant to comply with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice, satisfying the standard set forth by the United States Supreme Court in <u>International Shoe Co. v. Washington</u>, 326 U.S. 310 (1945) and its progeny.
- 4. Venue is properly laid in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)(1) and (c)(2), because Defendant resides in and/or conduct business in this judicial district.

PARTIES

- 5. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
 - 6. Plaintiff is an adult male with an address as set forth in the above caption.
- 7. Defendant is an entity with locations throughout the United States (including the location at which Plaintiff worked in Wayne, PA) specializing in the medical treatment of vein disease.
- 8. At all times relevant herein, Defendant acted by and through its agents, servants and employees, each of whom acted at all times relevant herein in the course and scope of their employment with and for Defendant.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 9. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
 - 10. Plaintiff is an adult male.

- 11. Plaintiff was hired by Defendant to work at its Wayne, Pennsylvania location.
- 12. Plaintiff worked for Defendant for approximately three (3) months as a vascular technician.
- 13. Plaintiff was the only male vascular technician throughout his employment with Defendant.
- 14. During his employment, Plaintiff was required to go through training; however, throughout his training with Defendant, he was treated in a discriminatory and disparate manner as compared to his female co-workers by his preceptor, Lisa Schoenwald.
- 15. For example, unlike his female counterparts, Plaintiff was treated in a derogatory manner, yelled at, refused help, and was subjected to discriminatory comments related to his gender.
- 16. For example, Schoenwald would tell Plaintiff "Male [vascular] techs never make it" and "you really need to figure out how to do this because males never really make it."
- 17. Plaintiff complained about Defendant's gender-related discriminatory behavior (as discussed *supra*) to Defendant's management, including but not limited to Kenneth Lomax (Manager) whom thereafter informed Plaintiff that he reported Plaintiff's concerns to Medical Director, Robert Singh.
- 18. Upon information and belief, Defendant's management never properly resolved or investigated Plaintiff's concerns of gender discrimination and instead terminated Plaintiff's employment shortly after his last complaint of gender discrimination.
- 19. Plaintiff was told that he was being terminated because he did not successfully complete training after twelve (12) weeks; however, before his termination, Lomax informed

Plaintiff that there were numerous individuals who were given substantially more than 12 weeks to complete training.

Count I <u>Violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended</u> ([1] Gender Discrimination; [2] Retaliation; [3] Hostile Work Environment)

- 20. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
- 21. Defendant is an "employer" within the meaning of the Title VII because at all times relevant herein, Defendant has employed at least fifty (15) or more full-time employees within the present or preceding calendar year and engages in a business that affects interstate commerce.
- 22. Plaintiff exhausted his administrative remedies (with respect to his Title VII claims) because he timely filed Charges with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") and filed the instant lawsuit within ninety (90) days of receiving a right-to-sue letter and/or notices of case closure from the EEOC.
- 23. Plaintiff was subjected to a hostile work environment during his period of employment due to his gender and/or complaints of gender discrimination through disparate treatment, pretextual admonishment, and demeaning and/or discriminatory treatment towards him.
- 24. Plaintiff complained to Defendant's management regarding the discriminatory behavior that he was being subjected to within the workplace; however, Defendant's management did not properly investigate or resolve his concerns of discrimination.
- 25. Shortly after making his aforementioned complaints of gender discrimination, Plaintiff was terminated from his position with Defendant for completely pretextual reasons.

4

- 26. Plaintiff believes and therefore avers that he was terminated from Defendant because of his gender and/or in retaliation for complaining of gender discrimination.
 - 27. These actions as aforesaid constitutes violations of Title VII.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that this Court enter an Order providing that:

- A. Defendant is to be prohibited from continuing to maintain its illegal policy, practice or custom of discriminating/retaliating against employees and is to be ordered to promulgate an effective policy against such unlawful acts and to adhere thereto;
- B. Defendant is to compensate Plaintiff, reimburse Plaintiff and make Plaintiff whole for any and all pay and benefits Plaintiff would have received had it not been for Defendant's illegal actions, including but not limited to past lost earnings, future lost earnings, salary, pay increases, bonuses, medical and other benefits, training, promotions, pension, and seniority. Plaintiff should be accorded those benefits illegally withheld from the date he first suffered retaliation/interference/discrimination at the hands of Defendant until the date of verdict;
- C. Plaintiff is to be awarded punitive damages, as permitted by applicable law, in an amount determined by the Court or trier of fact to be appropriate to punish Defendant for its willful, deliberate, malicious and outrageous conduct and to deter Defendant or other employers from engaging in such misconduct in the future;
- D. Plaintiff is to be accorded any and all other equitable and legal relief as the Court deems just, proper and appropriate, including but not limited to, emotional distress and/or pain and suffering damages (where legally permitted);
- E. Plaintiff is to be awarded the costs and expenses of this action and reasonable legal fees as provided by applicable federal and state law;

F. Any verdict in favor of Plaintiff is to be molded by the Court to maximize the financial recovery available to the Plaintiff in light of the caps on certain damages set forth in applicable federal law; and

G. Plaintiff's claims are to receive trial by jury to the extent allowed by applicable law. Plaintiff has also endorsed this demand on the caption of this Complaint in accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b).

Respectfully submitted,

KARPF, KARPF & CERUTTI, P.C.

By:

Ari R. Karpf, Esquire Attorney for Plaintiff 3331 Street Road Two Greenwood Square, Suite 128

Bensalem, PA 19020

Dated: March 21, 2016

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

DIDIER ANTOINE		CIVIL ACTION	
. .	:		
VEIN CLINICS OF AMEE	RICA, INC.	NO.	
filing the complaint and serv side of this form.) In the designation that defendants	re a copy on all defendants. event that a defendant does shall, with its first appearant rties, a Case Management	ay Reduction Plan of this court, counsification Form in all civil cases at the tire (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reson to agree with the plaintiff regarding ace, submit to the clerk of court and services are Designation Form specifying the igned.	ne of verse said
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	OLLOWING CASE MAN	AGEMENT TRACKS:	
(a) Habeas Corpus - Cases 1	orought under 28 U.S.C. §	2241 through § 2255.	()
(b) Social Security - Cases r and Human Services den	requesting review of a deci- lying plaintiff Social Securi	sion of the Secretary of Health ty Benefits.	()
(c) Arbitration - Cases requi	red to be designated for ar	pitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	()
(d) Asbestos – Cases involvi exposure to asbestos.	ng claims for personal inju	ry or property damage from	()
 (e) Special Management – C commonly referred to as the court. (See reverse si management cases.) 	ases that do not fall into tra complex and that need spe de of this form for a detaile	cial or intense management by	()
•			()
(f) Standard Management – (Cases that do not fall into a	ny one of the other tracks.	(X)
3/22/2016 · Date	Attorney-at-law	Plaintiff Attorney for	·
(215) 639-0801	(215) 639-4970	•	
Telephone	FAX Number	akarpf@karpf-law.com E-Mail Address	

(Civ. 660) 10/02

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM assignment to appropriate calendar.	to be used by counsel to indicate the ca	tegory of the case for the purpose of		
Address of Plaintiff. 33 Crystal Terrace, Mount Bethel, PA 18343				
Address of Defendant: 676 E. Swedesford Road, Suite 170, Wayne, PA	19087			
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Defendant's place of business				
(Use Reverse Side Fo		:		
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporatio	n and any publicly held corporation owni	ng 10% or more of it.		
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1	(a)) Yos□	No.		
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	YesD	No.X		
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	1,50	NOGE		
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:			
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:				
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one	year previously terminated action in this	enurt?		
	. V.,	5.1 PM		
Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prio action in this court?	r suit pending or within one year previous	sly terminated		
2. Does this care involve the wildless of the	Yes□	No□		
 Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier terminated action in this court? 				
Commission and the Country	Yes□	No□		
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rig	hts case filed by the same individual?	•		
	Yes□	No□		
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)				
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Dissenter tradelitest Co.	,		
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases 1. D Insurance Contract a	•		
2. □ FELA	 Insurance Contract and Other Contracts Airplane Personal Injury 			
3. Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. Assault, Defamation			
4. □ Antitrust	4. Marine Personal Injury			
5. © Patent	5. D Motor Vehicle Personal Injury			
6. D Labor-Management Relations	6. • Other Personal Injury (Please specify)			
7. A Civil Rights	7. Products Liability			
8. Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos			
9. D Securities Act(s) Cases	9. All other Diversity Cases			
0. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)			
1. □ All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify)				
ARBITRATION CERT	IFICATION			
Ari R. Karpf (Check Appropriate Co	itegary)			
M Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and	y: belief, the damages recoverable in this civ	ril action com		
	And the state of t	action case exceed the sum of		
Relief other than monetary damages is sought.				
ATE: 3/22/2016	ARK2	2484		
Attorney-at-Law	Atton	ney I.D.# 91538		
NOTE: A trial do novo will be a trial by jury only if ther	o has been compliance with P.R.C.P. 38.			
certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or y	vithin one year previously terminated a	action in this court		
ATE: 3/22/2016	ARK2	191		
Attorney-at-Law	AKK24 Attorne	* * *		
(V. 609 (5/2012)	. 11111110	^{91.D.#} 91538		

72JS 44 (Rev. 12/07, NJ 5/08)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	DEFENDANTS		
ANTOINE, DIDIER			VEIN CLINICS	OF AMERICA, INC.	
(b) County of Resident	ce of First Listed Plaintiff Northampton		County of Residence	of First Listed Defendant	Delaware
(c) Attorney's (Firm N	ame, Address, Telephone Number and Email A	NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE			
Two Greenwood	erutti, P.C., 3331 Street Road, Square, Suite 128, Bensalem 639-0801, akarpf@karpf-law.c	,	LAND Attorneys (if Known)	INVOLVED.	SE THE ESCATION OF THE
II. BASIS OF JURIS	DICTION (Mace an "X" in Oue Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF I	PRINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place on "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
U.S. Government	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	•	(For Diversity Cases Only) P	TF DEF I (I I incorporated or P of Business in Th	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF Trincipal Place 0 4 11 4
O 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		n of Another State	2	
				3 Foreign Nation	D 6 D 6
IV. NATURE OF SU	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only)		eign Country		
MANAGEMENT OF STREET STREET					HARMONITH ANN AND THE RESIDENCE
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Millor Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY □ 310 Airplane □ 362 Personal Inju □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 368 Asbestos Pers □ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 340 Marine Product Liability □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 370 Other Fraud Liability □ 370 Other Fraud Liability □ 380 Other Personal □ 355 Motor Vehicle □ 380 Other Personal □ 355 Motor Vehicle □ 385 Property Dame Product Liability □ 385 Property Dame	URY 616 162	O Agriculture O Other Food & Drug 5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 D Liquor Laws O R.R. & Truck Airline Regs. O Occupational Safety/Health Other	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) □ 870 Taxos (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 430 Commerce 440 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cablo/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 870 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts
X 1 Original 2 Re	ite Court Appellate Court	4 Reinst	ned another (specif		Appeal to District of Judge from Magistrate Judgment
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of Brief description of cause: Violations of Title VII and the Pe	of 1964 (4:	2USC2000)		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23		MAND \$		if demanded in complaint:
VIII. RELATED CASI	(See instructions): JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER	
Explanation:		7	Marian Ma	DOCKET HOMBEK	
3/22/2016					
DATE	SIGNATURE (OF ATTORN	EY OF RECORD		

Print

Save As...

Export as PDF

Retrieve PDF File

Reset